

London

# vegetation parameters cci

# **CCI+ Vegetation Parameters**

# D2.1 Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document Cycle 1 - update

Simon Blessing

September 2023



of Antwerp

# **Distribution list**

Author(s)	:	Simon Blessing
Reviewer(s)	:	Else Swinnen, Christiaan Van der Tol
Approver(s)	:	Clément Albergel
Issuing authority	:	VITO

# Change record

Release	Date	Pages	Description of change	Editor(s)/Reviewer(s)
V1	15/09/2022	all	First version	Simon Blessing / Else
				Swinnen
V1.1	05/10/2022	all	Answer to RIDs	Simon Blessing / Else
				Swinnen
V1.2	14/09/2023	all	Update with algorithm options activated	Simon Blessing / Else
			for CRDP-1	Swinnen, Christiaan Van
				der Tol
V1.3	26/10/2023	all	Answer to RIDs	Simon Blessing / Else
				Swinnen

# **Executive summary**

CCI+ Vegetation Parameters is part of the ESA Climate Change Initiative. It aims at the identification, development and improvement of algorithms for the consistent retrieval of vegetation ECVs LAI and fAPAR from multi-platform and multi-mission satellite data and interact with the user community to match their requirements. The work plan includes three cycles, in which different data sources are combined, the algorithms' scientific and operational maturity is increased, and user feedback is incorporated.

This document is an updated version of the D2.1 ATBD V1.1. It describes the algorithms used in cycle 1, which use existing TOC reflectance datasets from Proba-V and SPOT-Vegetation. The use of Sentinel-3 OLCI was postponed. Two processing chains are described. One is the combination of OptiAlbedo and TIP (Two-stream Inversion Package), and the other one is OptiSAIL (based on the established PROSPECT, SAIL and other models). Due to recurrent issues with non-flagged cloud-contaminated observations, the OptiSAIL cloud contamination simulation was activated, and a pre-filtering algorithm was implemented. As a reaction to user preferences, the fAPAR absorbed by Chlorophyll A+B (fAPAR\_Cab) is computed as additional output of OptiSAIL. The changes are reflected in this update of the document.

# **Table of Contents**

Li	st of Ac	ronyms	5
Li	st of Fig	gures	6
Li	st of Ta	bles	7
1	Intro	oduction	8
	1.1	Scope of this document	8
	1.2	Related documents	8
	1.3	General definitions	9
2	Proc	cessing and input data	10
3	Opti	iAlbedo	12
	3.1	Algorithm Summary	12
	3.2	Kernel factor dataset	12
	3.3	Parameter space and sampling	13
	3.4	Low-order spectral BRDF kernel factor model	14
	3.5	Kernel factor model parameter retrieval	14
	3.6	Bands-to-albedo regression model	15
	3.7	Identification of snowy backgrounds	15
	3.8	Propagation of Covariance and Error Budget	16
	3.9	OptiAlbedo output	16
4	Two	-stream Inversion Package (TIP)	17
	4.1	Algorithm Summary	17
	4.2	TIP LAI/fAPAR output	17
5	Opti	iSAIL	18
	5.1	Algorithm Summary	18
	5.2	OptiSAIL output	21
6	Refe	erences	25
7	Ann	ex: Re-gridding of the Sentinel-3 TOC reflectance data to 1 km	27
	7.1	Introduction	27
	7.1.	1 Related documents	27
	7.2	Input data description	27
	7.3	Re-gridding to 1 km	29
	7.3.	1 Grid	29
	7.3.	2 Selection of pixels	30
	7.3.	3 Resampling method per layer	31
	7.4	Output layers	33

# LIST OF ACRONYMS

BHR	Bi-Hemispherical Reflectance
BRDF	Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function
BRF	Bi-directional reflectance
CCI+	Climate Change Initiative Plus
DHR	Directional-Hemispherical reflectance
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
ED EOF	External Document (as listed in section 1.2) Empirical Orthogonal Function
fAPAR	<i>f</i> raction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation
HDR	Hemispherical-Directional reflectance
ID	Internal Document (as listed in section 1.2)
LAI	Leaf Area Index
NIR	Near Infra-Red range of the electromagnetic spectrum, here 7002500 nm
PCA	Principal Components Analysis
PROSPECT	PROperties of leaf SPECTtra
RT	Radiative Transfer
SAIL	Scattering of Arbitrarily Inclined Leaves
TAF	Transformation of Algorithms in Fortran
TARTES	Two-streAm Radiative TransfEr in Snow
TIP	Two-stream Inversion Package
TOA	Top-Of-Atmosphere
ТОС	Top-Of-Canopy
VIS	VISible range of the electromagnetic spectrum, here 400–700 nm
VP	Vegetation Parameters

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: General concept of the three cycles, with progressive inclusion of sensors, spatial ar	nd
temporal coverage and resolution, with the dimensions of the test datasets (TDS) and climate resear	ch
data packages (CRDP)illustrated. The initially emphasis is on the implementation of an innovativ	ve
approach, gradually shifting towards selection and optimization for an operational context	11
Figure 2: Processing diagram for CCI+ VP	11
Figure 3: 100 examples of the 2 million generated kernel factor spectra (isotropic part)	14
Figure 4: Prior distributions used in OptiSAIL. All model parameters are mapped to Gaussian contr	ol
parameters for the minimisation, using these distributions	19
Figure 5: OptiSAIL reflectance simulation2	20
Figure 6: OptiSAIL retrieval framework with covariance propagation.	21

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Symbols used in the Ross-Thick Li-Sparse BRDF model.	12
Table 2: Overview of parameter intervals used for the kernel factor samples	13
Table 3: Main OptiAlbedo output data layers	16
Table 4: Data layers in TIP output	17
Table 5: OptiSAIL retrieved parameters by sub-model	19
Table 6: Data layers in OptiSAIL output. For all quantities, the standard error and the co	prrelation with
all other main layers is given.	22
Table 7: Sentinel-3 input data	27
Table 8: Quality_flags encoding	
Table 9: pixel_classif_flags encoding	
Table 10: A/C processing flags	29
Table 11: Output flag definitions.	
Table 12: Output layers definition	33

# **1** Introduction

# **1.1** Scope of this document

This document updates the theoretical basis of the algorithms (ATBD-V1.1) used in cycle 1 of the CCI+ Vegetation Parameters project (ID1) for the production of the CRDP-1 with processor version OptiSAILr37088M. The alternative algorithm, notably the processor versions OptiAlbedo-r37028 and TIP V1.3r37030, are described as well. The OptiAlbedo albedo retrieval algorithm feeds into TIP (Twostream-Inversion-Package) for the retrieval of fAPAR and effective LAI. The selected innovative OptiSAIL algorithm retrieves LAI and fAPAR together with other parameters directly from TOC reflectances. Because OptiSAIL includes 1-D radiative transfer model and does not account for horizontal sub-pixel heterogeneity, the LAI is an effective LAI that does not account for possible vegetation structure dependent effects of clumping. Both algorithmic chains use the same interface for accessing multi-sensor, multi-band, multi-angular data for the retrievals.

# **1.2 Related documents**

#### **Internal documents**

Reference ID	Document	
ID1	Climate Change Initiative Extension (CCI+) Phase 2 New	
	ECVs: Vegetation Parameters – EXPRO+ (ITT)	
VP-CCI_D4.2_PUG_V1.2	Product User Guide (PUG) CRDP-1, ESA CCI+ Vegetation Parameters	
	http://climate.esa.int/media/documents/VP-CCI_D4.2_PUG_V1.2.pdf	
VP-CCI_D2.4_PVASR_V1.1	Product Validation and Algorithm Selection Report, ESA CCI+ Vegetation	
	Parameters	
	http://climate.esa.int/media/documents/VP-CCI_D2.4_PVASR_V1.1.pdf	

#### **External documents**

Reference ID	Document	
ED1	C3S ATBD of Surface Albedo, multi-sensor, D1.3.4-	
	v2.0_ATBD_CDR_SA_MULTI_SENSOR_v2.0_PRODUCTS_v1.1	
ED2	CGLS ATBD Sentinel-3 OLCI and SLSTR atmospheric correction , CGLOPS1_ATBD_S3-	
	<u>AC-V1 11.30</u>	
ED3	C3S ATBD LAI and fAPAR (TIP algorithm), D1.4.5-v4.0 ATBD CDR-	
	ICDR LAI FAPAR SENTINEL3 v4.0 PRODUCTS v1.0	
ED4	C3S Product Quality Assessment Report, LAI and fAPAR (TIP algorithm)	
	<ul> <li>Proba-V products (v2): <u>D2.3.8-v2.0 PQAR CDR-</u></li> </ul>	
	ICDR_LAI_FAPAR_PROBAV_v2.0_PRODUCTS_v1.1	
	<ul> <li>Multi-sensor products (v3): <u>D2.3.9-</u></li> </ul>	
	v3.0_PQAR_CDR_LAI_FAPAR_MULTI_SENSOR_v3.0_PRODUCTS_v1.1	
	- Sentinel-3 products (v4): D2.3.10-v4.1_PQAR_CDR-	
	ICDR_LAI FAPAR SENTINEL3 v4.0 PRODUCTS v1.1	
ED5	C3S Product User Guide LAI and FAPAR (TIP algorithm) C3S D312b Lot5.3.3.10-	
	v4.1 PUGS CDR-ICDR LAI FAPAR SENTINEL3 v4.0 PRODUCTS v1.1	

# **1.3 General definitions**

**Leaf Area Index (LAI)** is defined as the total one-sided area of all leaves in the canopy within a defined region, and is a non-dimensional quantity, although units of [m2/m2] are often quoted, as a reminder of its meaning [GCOS-200, 2016]. The selected algorithm in the CCI-Vegetation Parameters project uses a 1-D radiative transfer model, and LAI is uncorrected for potential effects of crown clumping. Its value can be considered as an effective LAI, notably the LAI-parameter of a turbid-medium model of the canopy that would let the model have similar optical properties as the true 3-D structured canopy with true LAI [Pinty et al, 2006]. Additional information about the geometrical structure may be required for this correction to obtain true LAI [Nilson, 1971], which involves the estimation of the clumping index, CI, defined as the ratio between the true and effective LAI [see Fang, 2021 for a review of methods to estimate CI].

**Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (fAPAR)** is defined as the fraction of Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR; solar radiation reaching the surface in the 400-700 nm spectral region) that is absorbed by a vegetation canopy [GCOS-200, 2016].

# 2 CRDP-1

For the production of the CRDP-1, a selection was made from the two algorithmic chains described in this document, based on the criteria listed in the PVASR. The selected algorithm ist OptiSAIL. The alternative, OptiAlbedo+TIP, is described in this document only for reference from the PVASR.

CRDP-1 contains a selection of data layers from OptiSAIL, applied to TOC reflectances retrieved with the Vegetation sensors on board the SPOT and PROBA-V platforms. This data is described in the PUG (<u>VP-CCI\_D4.2\_PUG</u>).

# **3** Processing and input data

Figure 1 sketches out the structure for algorithm development and successively increasing data complexity in the three development cycles of this project. Cycle 1 uses existing, atmospherically corrected, and gridded TOC reflectances as input. The datasets are intermediate products of surface albedo production chains with validated output. The ATBDs for these chains are listed as external documents ED1 for VGT and Proba-V 1 km gridded TOC reflectances, and ED2 (using v2, documentation of which is not yet publicly available) for Sentinel-3 gridded TOC reflectances. Sentinel-3 data are re-gridded to 1 km as outlined in the Annex (p. 27). Figure 1 also gives an overview of the periods and satellite products used in cycles 1-3. The TOC reflectance datasets which will be produced for this project in cycles 2 and 3 will use the same approach for atmospheric correction as the products used in cycle 1. S3 OLCI, however, was not included in cycle-1 for product maturity reasons.

Figure 2 gives an overview of the processing structure. Both algorithmic chains, OptiAlbedo+TIP and OptiSAIL use the same data selection mechanism in order to use the same set of observations from multiple sources for a given temporal aggregation window. In order to improve the temporal resolution for situations with many usable observations, the TOC reflectance uncertainties are inflated with a time-dependent factor ( $f(\Delta t)$ ). It is exponential in time difference  $\Delta t$  between the window

centre and the observation time, and 1 for  $\Delta t=0$  and 2 for  $\Delta t=120h$  (5d), computed as  $f(\Delta t) = 2^{\left(\frac{\Delta t}{120h}\right)}$ . Five days is also the time interval in which the data is produced, using a centered 10-day window.

Cloud and quality flags of the data are used to exclude pixels from processing whenever they are raised. Snow flags are used to select a higher value of the snow prior in the inversion.

To avoid outliers in the observations, a pre-filtering criterion based on a maximum anisotropy threshold was implemented. Bright outliers are filtered out as follows: for all sensors with bands at wavelengths shorter than 500 nm, the band with the shortest wavelength is selected for comparison. All reflectances at this band higher than two times the lowest reflectance at this band are excluded from the retrieval. For this comparison no angular effects are considered, that is, the reflectances are treated as if they were Lambertian. This is expected to avoid observations which suffer from undetected cloud, high aerosol, or similar effects.

A second filtering step selects out of the remaining observations only those at the three bands closest to the centre date of the observation window. This helps to increase temporal resolution, processing speed, and somewhat limits the effect of unknown reflectance error correlations. This pre-filtering is similar for both algorithmic chains.



Figure 1: General concept of the three cycles, with progressive inclusion of sensors, spatial and temporal coverage and resolution, with the dimensions of the test datasets (TDS) and climate research data packages (CRDP) illustrated. The initially emphasis is on the implementation of an innovative approach, gradually shifting towards selection and optimization for an operational context



Figure 2: Processing diagram for CCI+ VP.

# 4 OptiAlbedo

# 4.1 Algorithm Summary

OptiAlbedo is a two-step algorithm for the estimation of surface albedo from a given arbitrary constellation of TOC reflectances. It is based on a simulated dataset of Ross-thick-Li-sparse model kernel factor spectra in order to derive a model formulation with parameters which are independent from viewing and illumination geometries.

In the first step, OptiAlbedo uses the results of a PCA (Principal Components Analysis) of the synthetic Ross-thick-Li-sparse kernel model parameter spectra projected onto the band wavelengths of the given sensor combination. By using not more than the first N empirical vectors, a reduced order model of TOC reflectance is formulated, which has parameters that are independent of wavelength and of geometry. The parameters of this model are determined for the observed TOC reflectance data by minimising the simulated misfit.

In the second step, a regression model is used to convert the kernel factor estimated in the first step into albedo. A similar regression model is used to retrieve the snow height below the canopy, which is a relevant input for TIP (see section 4) in order to identify bright backgrounds.

The algorithm makes use of the reported TOC reflectance uncertainties, and, if available, covariances, and provides the covariance matrix for all retrieved quantities.

# 4.2 Kernel factor dataset

Wanner et al. (1995) give the following formulae for the combination of the Ross-Thick with the Li-Sparse BRDF kernel model which are a direct consequence of the assumptions made in the derivation of these models (their Eqs. 67–69):

$$f_{iso} = \alpha C + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{s}{3} + exp(-LAIB) \left( \rho_0 - \frac{s}{3} \right) \right)$$
(1)

$$f_{geo} = \alpha C \lambda \pi r^2 \tag{2}$$

$$f_{vol} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{4s}{3\pi} \left( 1 - exp(-LAIB) \right)$$
(3)

The meaning of the symbols is given in Table 1. For the term  $\lambda \pi r^2$ , the interpretation as a "crown area index" is illustrative, namely the fraction of the area that is covered with crowns. For the coupling with OptiSAIL or its components,  $\rho_0$ , LAI, and *s* have direct correspondence, while *C* is less obvious. In the current implementation it is set to reflectance simulated by OptiSAIL for an observer facing nadir with illumination from zenith.

Table 1: Symbols used in the	e Ross-Thick Li-Sparse I	BRDF model.
------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------

Symbol	Meaning
fiso	Factor for isotropic reflectance kernel
f <sub>geo</sub>	Factor for geometric reflectance kernel (Ross-Thick)
f <sub>vol</sub>	Factor for volumetric reflectance kernel (Li-Sparse)
а	Areal proportion of $\alpha$ or $(1 - \alpha)$ of land cover representing one
	or the other type of scattering (note that other interpretations
	of the parameter $\alpha$ are possible)
С	Reflectance of sunlit crown and sunlit ground alike, as seen by
	the sensor; from derivation of Li-Sparse kernel
LAI	Leaf Area Index of volume scattering area proportion

В	1.5, approximation of average $1/2(\sec \vartheta_i + \sec \vartheta_v)$
ρ <sub>0</sub>	Lambertian reflectance of flat horizontal surface under canopy,
	from Ross-thick kernel
λ	n/A, density of objects on a plane (e.g., crowns)
$\pi r^2$	Vertically projected area of single crown

# 4.3 Parameter space and sampling

The full parameter set for the simulation of the kernel factor spectra is a combination of the OptiSAIL parameters (see description of OptiSAIL in section 5) and the additional a and  $\lambda \pi r^2$ . Of the original OptiSAIL parameters, only those related to isotropic soil reflection, LAI and leaf single scattering albedo remain. Latin Hypercube sampling is used to generate sample parameter sets. The Latin Hypercube sampling uses ten disjunct intervals from the prior probability distribution as it is used for OptiSAIL (see section 6) with equal probability between the limits corresponding to the  $+/-2\sigma$  interval of a Gaussian distribution. Table 2 lists the parameters and the sampled range. The soil EOF parameter range is not fully representable of the used range, because the control vector is a non-linear mapping, in order to avoid spectra with physically meaningless values. The maximum value of  $\lambda \pi r^2$  is limited to 1/1.377622 to make sure that the computation of the albedo (by combining eqs. 1-3 with eq. 12 in section 3.6 below) always yields values greater than or equal to zero. As an alternative, such samples could just be discarded. From the drawn intervals, a pseudo-random value is drawn, which gives the actual parameter value. If, for one of the parameters, the Latin Hypercube is exhausted, that is, all intervals have been used once, then it is reset. It is ensured that no duplicate sets are drawn. For the database currently used, 2 million of such parameter sets are drawn and used for the simulation of the corresponding kernel factor spectra. An example of 100 such spectra is shown in Figure 3.

Symbol	Meaning	Minimum	Maximum
LAI	Leaf Area Index	0.1744E-02	7.915
Ν	Leaf structure parameter	1.025	3.059
Cab	Leaf chlorophyll a+b content	14.07	93.21
Car	Leaf carotenoids content	1.196	23.80
CAnth	Leaf anthocyanin content	1.145	33.79
Cbrown	Leaf brown pigments content	0.2863E-01	0.8447
Cw	Leaf equivalent water thickness	0.2439E-02	0.4761E-01
Cm	Leaf dry matter content	0.1909E-02	0.1909E-01
EOF1	Factor for empirical soil spectrum 1	-0.63747	0.9580
EOF2	Factor for empirical soil spectrum 2	-1.0674	1.8799
moist	Soil relative moisture saturation	0.2848E-02	0.8121
а	Areal proportion as in Table 1	0	1
$\lambda \pi r^2$	"Crown area Index" as in Table 1	0	0.6533

Table 2: Overview of parameter intervals used for	for the kernel	factor samples.
---	----------------	-----------------



*Figure 3: 100 examples of the 2 million generated kernel factor spectra (isotropic part).* 

#### 4.4 Low-order spectral BRDF kernel factor model

In order to derive a low-order empirical model of the kernel factor spectra for a given sensor combination, the full spectra  $B_{n_{samles}}$  (denoted B in the following) are projected onto the effective band wavelengths, yielding  $B_{n_{ox} n_{samples}}$  (denoted  $B_0$  in the following) by applying the sensors' spectral response functions, denoted by P:

$$P: B \to B_0 \tag{4}$$

In the vectors of the B-matrices, the spectra for the three kernel factors are concatenated. Thus, by computing the Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOF) of the Principal Components Analysis (PCA), a joint model is achieved by currently using the 15 vectors explaining most of the variance of the data in B<sub>0</sub>. The reflectance  $\rho$  for a given wavelength and viewing and illumination geometry is then computed as

$$\rho(\lambda, \theta_i, \theta_o, \phi_{rel}) = f_{iso}(\lambda) K_{iso}(\theta_i, \theta_o, \phi_{rel}) + f_{geo}(\lambda) K_{geo}(\theta_i, \theta_o, \phi_{rel})$$

$$+ f_{vol}(\lambda) K_{vol}(\theta_i, \theta_o, \phi_{rel})$$
(5)

with

$$f_{xxx}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{15} p_i EOF_{i,xxx}(\lambda), \tag{6}$$

where "xxx" denotes one of *iso, geo, vol*, and  $p_i$  are the wavelength-independent parameters of the model which have to be determined in the retrieval. The kernels  $K_{xxx}$  of the Ross-Thick-Li-Sparse-reciprocal BRDF model describe the angular dependence of the reflectance.

#### 4.5 Kernel factor model parameter retrieval

The  $p_i$  from equation 6 are determined by minimising a cost function with a gradient-based algorithm. Gradient information is obtained from automatic differentiation of the forward model implementation. The cost function measures the difference between simulated and observed reflectances for the given scene geometries, thus allowing for an arbitrary combination of

$$J(p,\rho_{obs}) = 1/2 \left(\overline{\rho_{obs}} - \vec{\rho}(p)\right)^T \cdot C^{-1} \cdot \left(\overline{\rho_{obs}} - \vec{\rho}(p)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{15} p_i \Big/ \sigma^2$$
(7)

Observed and simulated reflectance are written as vectors here, containing the reflectances at the different band wavelengths as entries. The inverse covariance matrix  $C^{-1}$  of the TOC reflectance observations is diagonal, if no covariance terms are available in the data.

#### 4.6 Bands-to-albedo regression model

In order to estimate the albedo, a regression model R is derived from the kernel factor spectral data B, which maps the  $B_0$  back to the full spectrum:

$$R: B_0 \to \mathcal{B} \tag{8}$$

The model is chosen to minimise the following function:

$$L = (RB_0 - B)^T C^{-1} (RB_0 - B).$$
(9)

The weight matrix C<sup>-1</sup> is included here, without loss of generality. Setting the derivative with respect to R to zero, we have to solve:

$$(C^{-1} + (C^{-1})^T)(RB_0 - B)B_0^T = 0.$$
(10)

It follows that

$$R = BB_0^T (B_0 B_0^T)^{-1}, (11)$$

Independent from any weights C<sup>-1</sup>. The expression is equivalent to matrix  $A_{nch x nch0}$  from eq. 19 of Yang et al. 2020 when their matrices  $U_{nch x npc}U_{nch x npc}^{T}$  reduce to the unit matrix for nch = npc.

The estimated full kernel factor spectra are converted to albedo using the approximate integration formula of the Ross-Thick Li-Sparse model as given in Strahler et al. (1999):

$$BHR(\lambda) = f_{iso}(\lambda) + 0.189184 f_{vol}(\lambda) - 1.377622 f_{aeo}(\lambda).$$
(12)

The spectral bi-hemishperic reflectance BHR( $\lambda$ ) is then weighted with a reference solar spectrum over the VIS (400–700 nm) and NIR (700-2500 nm) ranges in order to yield the visible and near-infrared albedo. The solar reference spectrum used is the same as in OptiSAIL (*ASTM G173-03 Reference Spectra Derived from SMARTS v. 2.9.2.*).

The regression matrix R and the conversion to BHR VIS/NIR can be combined, yielding a set of regression coefficients for the given sensor combination. This is very similar to Liang's coefficients (Liang 2001) but mapping directly from the Ross-Li-kernel factors to albedo.

#### 4.7 Identification of snowy backgrounds

The sub-canopy snow height parameter of OptiSAIL is included in the simulation of the kernel factor dataset B. Equivalent to the computation of R for the mapping from the band wavelengths to the full spectrum, a mapping  $R_{snow}$  is computed, to get an estimate of the snow height from the retrieved kernel factor spectra:

$$h_{snow} = R_{snow} f_0 \tag{13}$$

# 4.8 Propagation of Covariance and Error Budget

The uncertainty of the TOC retrievals enters the computation through the inverse covariance matrix  $C^{-1}$  in the minimisation of J from eq. 7. Using automatic differentiation of the implemented retrieval, the posterior covariance matrix of the retrieved kernel factors is computed. This is a two-step process. First, the Hessian matrix at the minimum of J from eq. 7 is computed. Its inverse gives  $\Sigma^p$ , the posterior covariance matrix of the retrieved model parameters p. Then this matrix is propagated through the algorithm using the Jacobians (again, from automatic differentiation), to the posterior covariance matrix of the retrieved kernel factors:

$$\Sigma^{f} = dJ/dp\Sigma^{p}(dJ/dp)^{T}$$
(14)

Since only the first 15 EOFs are used in the model, there are some weak but unresolved directions, which can be quantified by computing their covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma^{funresolved} = d J/d \, pEOF_{16-n}EOF_{16-n}^T (d J/d \, p)^T \tag{15}$$

Thus, the total posterior covariance of the kernel factor retrieval is

$$\Sigma^{ftotal} = \Sigma^{f} + \Sigma^{funresolved}.$$
 (16)

For the regression step, we denote the actions of eqs. 12 and 13 as matrix A, and compute

$$\Sigma^{out} = AR\Sigma^{ftotal} (AR)^T, \tag{17}$$

in order to obtain the covariance of all output quantities of OptiAlbedo, namely BHR VIS, BHR NIR, and sub-canopy snow height h<sub>snow</sub>.

# 4.9 OptiAlbedo output

All outputs are on the same 1 km regular lat-lon grid as the TOC reflectance data used for input (ED1– 3). The output of OptiAlbedo is an intermediate product, as it provides the albedo and snow information required by TIP. The format is netCDF. Table 3 gives an overview of the layers used by TIP. Further layers exist, which may be removed as the algorithms evolves.

Table 3: Main OptiAlbedo output data layers.

Name	Long or standard name	Unit
time	time (dImension)	days since 1970- 01-01 00:00
lon	Longitude (dimension)	degrees_east
lat	Latitude (dimension)	degrees_north
AL_BH_VI	bi-hemispherical reflectance (white-sky albedo) in the visible range	1
AL_BH_NI	bi-hemispherical reflectance (white-sky albedo) in the near infra-red range	1
AL_BH_VI_ERR	uncertainty (one standard deviation) of AL_BH_VI	1
AL_BH_NI_ERR	uncertainty (one standard deviation) of AL_BH_NI	1

AL_BH_VI_AL_BH_NI_correl	uncertainty correlation of AL_BH_VI and AL_BH_NI	1
invcode	Various diagnostics; bit corresponding to 0x32 is snow flag	(-)

# 5 Two-stream Inversion Package (TIP)

# 5.1 Algorithm Summary

TIP-LAI and TIP-fAPAR are effective Leaf Area Index and Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation, respectively. They are retrieved by applying the TIP to visible (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) broadband albedos. TIP is based on the Two-stream Model developed by Pinty et al. 2006, which implements the two-stream approximation of radiative transfer for a homogeneous canopy ("1Dcanopy"). For efficient processing, the retrievals are taken from tables of pre-computed inversions, generated with the TIP. It allows for the retrieval of effective LAI and fAPAR and their covariance from Top-Of-Canopy (TOC) VIS and NIR Bi-Hemispheric Reflectances (BHRs) and their joint variancecovariance matrix. By using the full variance-covariance matrix of the BHRs, it is a consistent enhancement beyond previous implementations of the TIP (e.g., Disney et al. 2013; Lavergne et al. 2006; Muller et al. 2013).

The retrievals of LAI and fAPAR using TIP are tied to the assumptions used in the Two-stream Model. This is the assumption of horizontal homogeneity over the whole pixel and vertical homogeneity over the canopy (1D approach), which yields effective values of the model's state variables, including the LAI. By means of a clumping factor, as suggested by Pinty et al. (2006), and a domain average, this can be related to the properties of realistic canopies, which are clumped at multiple scales. Direct measurements or retrievals of LAI-based 3D Radiative Transfer (RT) simulations will typically yield higher values (Jonckheere et al. 2004; Weiss et al. 2004). However, the assumptions used in the Two-stream Model are motivated by the need for consistency with those made in many surface flux retrievals, as well as those used in large-scale Land Surface Models (e.g., Widlowski et al. 2011). Verification studies of the retrieval algorithm have been done by Pinty et al. 2011a, b, c, d; Widlowski et al. 2011, and in the framework of the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S, see ED4).

The implementation of TIP used here is in essence identical to the one used in the C3S documented in ED3. An important difference is the availability of correlation information for the input BHRs coming from OptiAlbedo. Whenever this correlation exceeds +/-0.5, different inversion tables are used, which are pre-computed for a correlation of +/-0.5.

# **5.2 TIP LAI/fAPAR output**

All outputs are on the same 1 km regular lat-lon grid as the TOC reflectance data used for input (ED1– 3). The format is netCDF and the file contents are similar to those of the C3S LAI/fAPAR products v2– 4 which are documented in the corresponding Product User Guide (ED4). Table 4 gives an overview of the data layers.

Name	Long/Standard name	Unit
time	Time (dimension)	days since 1970-01-01 00:00
lon	Longitude (dimension)	degrees_east
lat	Latitude (dimension)	degrees_north

Table 4: Data layers in TIP output.

LAI	Effective Leaf Area Index	1
LAI_ERR	Standard deviation of Effective Leaf Area Index	1
fAPAR	Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation	1
fAPAR_ER	Standard deviation of fAPAR	1
unc_correl	uncertainty correlation (LAI/fAPAR)	1
retrieval_flag	TIP retrieval procedure flags (diagnostic and traceability information)	(-)

# 6 OptiSAIL

# 6.1 Algorithm Summary

OptiSAIL is a retrieval and error propagation framework and uses automatic differentiation for gradient, Jacobian and Hessian computations. It is built around the established components 4SAILH (Scattering of Arbitrarily Inclined Leaves, with 4-stream extension and hot-spot), PROSPECT-D (simulation of leaf spectra, version D including senescence, Féret et al. 2017), TARTES (Two-streAm Radiative Transfer in Snow (Libois et al. 2013), with the addition of an empirical soil reflectance model, a semi-empirical soil moisture model (Philpot 2010), the Ross-Thick-Li-Sparse BRDF model, and a cloud contamination simulation. Table 5 shows the parameters of all sub-models that are retrieved simultaneously, and Figure 4 their prior distribution. In addition to the data pre-filtering for bright outliers in the band with the shortest wavelength less than 500 nm mentioned in section 2, the cloud contamination detection built into OptiSAIL (see Blessing et al. 2021) was extended for the multi-sensor approach. It identifies and to some degree corrects for observations with residual cloud contamination. Test runs during cycle-1 showed quality issues when this option was not used. Figure 5 gives an overview of the reflectance simulation and Figure 6 of the retrieval framework. The model is described with further references and demonstrated in Blessing et al. (2021).



*Figure 4: Prior distributions used in OptiSAIL. All model parameters are mapped to Gaussian control parameters for the minimisation, using these distributions.* 

Parameter	Description	unit
	Cloud contamination sub-model	
L	Cloud thickness parameter vector (one entry per retrieval time)	m
	SAIL sub-model	
LAI	Leaf Area Index	$m^2/m^2$
ALIA	Average Leaf Inclination Angle (normal against zenith)	0

hspot	canopy hot-spot parameter	1
•	PROSPECT-D sub-model	
Ν	leaf structure parameter	1
C <sub>ab</sub>	chlorophyll a+b content	µg/cm <sup>2</sup>
C <sub>Car</sub>	carotenoids content	µg/cm <sup>2</sup>
CAnth	Anthocyanin content	µg/cm <sup>2</sup>
Cbrown	brown pigments content	1
$C_{w}$	equivalent water thickness	cm
C <sub>m</sub>	dry matter content	g/cm <sup>2</sup>
	Soil BRDF sub-model (Ross-Li-R)	
$f_{vol}$	volumetric scattering kernel factor	1
$\mathbf{f}_{\text{geo}}$	geometric scattering kernel factor	1
	Snow sub-model (TARTES)	
$h_{\text{snow}}$	height of a single snow layer with fixed properties	1
	Soil albedo model (empirical+Philpot)	
EOF1	factor for empirical soil spectrum variation 1	1
EOF2	factor for empirical soil spectrum variation 2	1
moist	relative moisture saturation of soil (to field capacity)	1



Figure 5: OptiSAIL reflectance simulation



Figure 6: OptiSAIL retrieval framework with covariance propagation.

# 6.2 OptiSAIL output

All outputs for the CRDP-1 are on the same 1 km regular lat-lon grid as the TOC reflectance data used for input (ED1–3). The format is netCDF. For all retrieved and diagnosed quantities, the uncertainty corresponding to one standard deviation of a Gaussian distribution and the correlation of the uncertainty with all other retrieved and diagnosed quantities is given. In production, the correlation information can optionally be directed to a second output file. Table 6 gives an overview of the potential data layers. See the PUG (VP-CCI D4.2 PUG) for the layers actually available to the user.

During cycle-1, the capability to compute fAPAR specifically absorbed by the leaf pigments Chlorophyll-A+B (fAPAR\_Cab) and Carotenoids (fAPAR\_Car) was added to OptiSAIL, by adopting the approach from SCOPE. In order to obtain the absorption by the pigment, the full leaf absorption spectrum ( $a_{\lambda}$ ) is multiplied with the relative contribution ( $\frac{k_{\lambda,pigment}}{k_{\lambda}}$ , as defined in PRODPECT-D) of the respective pigment (Cab, Car):

$$a_{\lambda,pigment} = \frac{a_{\lambda}k_{\lambda,pigment}}{k_{\lambda}}$$
(18)

Using ASTMG173 solar spectrum for the irradiance  $E_{e,\lambda}$  fAPAR\_pigment is then computed as

$$fAPAR_{pigment} = \frac{\sum_{\lambda=400 nm}^{700 nm} a_{\lambda} E_{e,\lambda}}{\sum_{\lambda=400 nm}^{700 nm} E_{e,\lambda}}$$
(19)

In cycle-1, this sum is computed with 10 nm steps and an irradiance spectrum averaged over 10 nmintervals, for computational speed.

Some additional layers exist, containing further information about the retrieval.

• "n\_bands\_used" gives the number of observations on individual bands, which were used in the inversion. Currently a cut-off of a maximum of three observations per band and sensor is used to limit the influence of potential error correlations of data retrieved with the same

sensor and platform or using the same ancillary data in the atmospheric correction, and to improve computational speed. For a sensor with four bands, for example, "n\_bands\_used" has a maximum value of 12 (=3\*4).

• "**p\_chisquare**" gives the probability of a  $\chi^2$ -distribution with the same number of degrees of freedom as the retrieval, to have a cost function value greater or equal than the one reached in the inversion of the pixel ( $p_{\chi^2}(J_{min,n}) = p(x \ge J_{min,n} | X \sim \chi_n^2)$ ). Low values of "p\_chisquare" are an indicator that model and data are inconsistent, and hence the retrieval quality is low. In CRDP-1, retrievals with p\_chisquare < 0.001 are discarded (invcode is set to "RETR\_UNTRUSTED" and data to the missing value). Retrievals with 0.001<p\_chisquare<0.01 are marked as "RETR\_UNTRUSTED" in "invcode".

Quality flags are collected in "invcode" (Table 7). Those beginning with "OPTIERR" and "XHESSERR" are mainly of technical interest and are kept to identify eventual numerical issues. "RETR\_UNTRUSTED" and "RETR\_LOW\_QUALITY" are intended as guidance for the user. "RETR\_UNTRUSTED" can be raised with or without missing data values. If there is data, it should only be used with great caution (if at all).

"RETR\_LOW\_QUALITY" combines a number of criteria to identify unreliable or bad data. These criteria are:

- "RETR\_UNTRUSTED" is raised
- cloud\_thk > 1 m (even "best" observation has cloud contamination above 1m of effective thickness)
- LAI > 3 and Chloropyll-A+B < 5 μg.cm-2 (dense canopy with extremely low Chlorophyll content, typically a bad solution triggered by complicated conditions, as varying snow cover during time window)
- LAI > 5 and Chloropyll-A+B < 15 μg.cm-2 (as above, but for even denser canopy)

This combination of criteria has proven quite effective excluding retrievals with quality issues. However, especially if senescent leaves matter, the LAI/Cab criteria may turn out to be problematic.

Table 6: Potential data layers in OptiSAIL output. For all quantities, the standard error and the correlation with all other main layers is given. For layers included in CRDP-1, please see the PUG (<u>VP-CCI D4.2 PUG</u>).

Name	Standard/long name	Unit
time	time (dimension)	days since 1970-01- 01 00:00
lon	Longitude (dimension)	degrees_ east
lat	Latitude (dimension)	degrees_ north
N_struct	PROSPECT-D leaf structure parameter	1
Cab	PROSPECT-D leaf chlorophyll a+b content	ug.cm-2
Car	PROSPECT-D leaf carotenoids content	ug.cm-2
Anth	PROSPECT-D leaf Anthocyanin content	ug.cm-2
Cbrown	PROSPECT-D leaf brown pigments content in arbitrary units	1

CCI+-VEGETATION

Cw	PROSPECT-D leaf equivalent water thickness	g.cm-2
Cm	PROSPECT-D leaf dry matter content	g.cm-2
LIDFa_II	SAIL average leaf angle (degrees) for type II	degree
LAI	SAIL Leaf Area Index	m2.m-2
hspot	SAIL hot spot parameter (av. leaf size / canopy height)	1
soilEOF1	SURF soil reflectance model parameter 1	1
soilEOF2	SURF soil reflectance model parameter 2	1
moisture	SURF relative volumetric moisture saturation of soil (theta/theta_sat)	1
snowheight	SURF snow height below canopy	m
k_vol	RossLi-reciprocal RossThick kernel parameter k_vol	1
k_geo	RossLi-reciprocal LiSparse kernel parameter k_geo	1
cloud_thk	Optical thickness from cloud contamination detection, only reporting cloud thickness of observation with smallest cloud thickness.	m
<i>f</i> APAR	fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation using diffuse ASTMG173	1
fAPAR_Cab	fAPAR absorbed by Chlorophyll-A+B	1
fAPAR_Car	fAPAR absorbed by Carotenoids	1
BHR_VIS	bi-hemispherical reflectance (albedo) in the visible range	1
BHR_NIR	bi-hemispherical reflectance (albedo) in the near infra-red range	1
BHR_SW	bi-hemispherical reflectance (albedo) in the shortwave range	1
DHR_VIS	directional-hemispherical reflectance (black-sky albedo), VIS, at local solar noon	1
DHR_NIR	directional-hemispherical reflectance (black-sky albedo), NIR, at local solar noon"	1
DHR_SW	directional-hemispherical reflectance (black-sky albedo), SW, at local solar noon	1
name_ERR	name standard_error	Unit of <i>name</i>
name1_name2_correl	name1 name2 standard_error_correlation	1

Table 7: Quality flags as collected in "invcode" data layers in OptiSAIL output. Bits 3,7,10-31 are currently not used.

bit	value	Flag_meaning	Comment
0	1	NOT_PROCESSED	Pixel not processed (sea point or missing data)
1	2	OPTIERR_TOO_MANY_ITER	Inversion stopped at iteration limit
2	4	OPTIERR_LNSRCH	Inversion stopped for numerical reasons
4	16	XHESSERR_NOTSYM	The computed Hessian matrix is not symmetric, and uncertainties and correlations cannot be computed.

CCI+-VEGETATION		TION	ATBD V1.3	Page   24
5	32	XHESSERR_INVERSION	The computed Hessian matrix can and uncertainties and correlations computed.	not be inverted, s cannot be
6	64	XHESSERR_NOTPOSDEF	The computed Hessian matrix is n (e.g. if no cost function minimum uncertainties and correlations car	ot positive definite was reached), and mot be computed.
8	256	RETR_UNTRUSTED	The retrieval is not trusted, becau previous bits with "ERR" in their n the chi-square-criterion is violated	se any of the name are raised, or d.
9	512	RETR_LOW_QUALITY	The retrieval matches one or mor for low quality (see text for explar	e criteria defined nation),

# 7 References

Blessing, S. and R. Giering (2021). Simultaneous Retrieval of Soil, Leaf, and Canopy Parameters from Sentinel-3 OLCI and SLSTR Multi-spectral Top-of-Canopy Reflectances, preprints.org, doi:10.20944/preprints202109.0147.v1.

Disney, M., J.-P. Muller, S. Kharbouche, T. Kaminski, and M. Vossbeck (2013). fAPAR/LAI Product Validation Report. Tech. rep. WP2230. ESA, 50 p., URL: <u>http://aramis.obspm.fr/~jimenez/Docs/WACMOSET/WACMOSET\_WP2230\_approved.pdf</u>.

Féret, J.B.; Gitelson, A.; Noble, S.; Jacquemoud, S. (2017). PROSPECT-D: Towards modeling leaf optical properties through a complete lifecycle. Remote Sensing of Environment, 193, 204 – 215. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.03.004.

Jonckheere, I., S. Fleck, K. Nackaerts, B. Muys, P. Coppin, M. Weiss, and F. Baret (2004). "Review of methods for in situ leaf area index determination: Part I. Theories, sensors and hemispherical photography". In: Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 121.1-2, pp. 19–35. DOI: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.08.027.

Lavergne, T., M. Voßbeck, B. Pinty, T. Kaminski, and R. Giering (2006). Evaluation of the Two-Stream Model Inversion Package. Tech. rep. EUR – Scientific and Technical Research series. Luxembourg, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities: JRC-ies, 82 p.

Liang, S. (2001). Narrowband to broadband conversions of land surface albedo I: Algorithms, Remote Sensing of Environment, 76(2), 213-238, <u>doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00205-4</u>

Libois, Q., G. Picard, J. France, L. Arnaud, M. Dumont, C. Carmagnola, and M. D. King (2013). Influence of grain shape on light penetration in snow, The Cryosphere, 1803–1818, <u>doi:10.5194/tc-7-1803-2013</u>.

Muller, J.-P., P. Lewis, and M. Disney (2013). Design of the Albedo/fAPAR/LAI Products. Tech. Rep. WP2210, WP2220. ESA, 24 p. URL:

http://aramis.obspm.fr/~jimenez/Docs/WACMOSET/WACMOSET WP2210 WP2220 approved.pdf.

Nilson, T. (1971). A theoretical analysis of the frequency of gaps in plant stands. Agricultural meteorology, 8, 25-38.

Philpot, W. (2010), Spectral Reflectance of Wetted Soils, Congress paper, doi:10.13140/2.1.2306.0169.

Pinty, B., I. Andredakis, M. Clerici, T. Kaminski, M. Taberner, M. M. Verstraete, N. Gobron, S. Plummer, and J. L. Widlowski (2011a). "Exploiting the MODIS albedos with the Two-stream Inversion Package (JRC-TIP): 1. Effective leaf area index, vegetation, and soil properties". In: J. Geophys. Res. 116, 20 p. DOI: <u>10.1029/2010JD01537</u>2.

Pinty, B., M. Clerici, I. Andredakis, T. Kaminski, M. Taberner, M. M. Verstraete, N. Gobron, S. Plummer, and J. L. Widlowski (2011b). "Exploiting the MODIS albedos with the Two-stream Inversion Package (JRC-TIP): 2. Fractions of transmitted and absorbed fluxes in the vegetation and soil layers". In: J. Geophys. Res. 116, 15 p. DOI: <u>10.1029/2010JD015373</u>.

Pinty, B., M. Jung, T. Kaminski, T. Lavergne, M. Mund, S. Plummer, E. Thomas, and J.-L. Widlowski (2011c). "Evaluation of the JRC-TIP 0.01 degree products over a mid-latitude deciduous forest site". In: Remote Sensing of Environment 115.12, pp. 3567–3581. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.rse.2011.08.018</u>.

Pinty, B., T. Lavergne, R. E. Dickinson, J. -L. Widlowski, N. Gobron, and M. M. Verstraete (2006). "Simplifying the interaction of land surfaces with radiation for relating remote sensing products to climate models," J. Geophys. Res., vol. 111, no. D2, p. D02116, <u>doi:10.1029/2005JD005952</u>.

Pinty, B., J. L. Widlowski, M. Verstraete, I. Andredakis, O. Arino, M. Clerici, T. Kaminski, and M. Taberner (2011d). "Snowy backgrounds enhance the absorption of visible light in forest canopies". In: Geophys. Res. L. 38. DOI : <u>10.1029/2010GL046417</u>.

Strahler, A. H., J.-P. Muller, and MODIS Science Team Members (1999). MODIS BRDF/Albedo Product:AlgorithmTheoreticalBasisDocument,Version5.0,NASA,https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/atbd/atbdmod09.pdf.

Wanner W., X. Li, and A. H. Strahler (1995). On the derivation of kernels for kernel-driven models of bidirectional reflectance, J. Geophys. Res., 100 (D10), 21,077–21089, doi:10.1029/95JD02371.

Weiss, M., F. Baret, G. J. Smith, I. Jonckheere, and P. Coppin (2004). "Review of methods for in situ leaf area index (LAI) determination: Part II. Estimation of LAI, errors and sampling". In: Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 121.1-2, pp. 37–53. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.08.001</u>.

Widlowski, J.-L., B. Pinty, M. Clerici, Y. Dai, M. De Kauwe, K. de Ridder, A. Kallel, H. Kobayashi, T. Lavergne, W. Ni-Meister, A. Olchev, T. Quaife, S. Wang, W. Yang, Y. Yang, and H. Yuan (2011). "RAMI4PILPS: An intercomparison of formulations for the partitioning of solar radiation in land surface models". In: J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosciences 116.G2. G02019, 25 p. ISSN: 2156-2202. DOI: 10.1029/2010JG001511.

# 8 Annex: Re-gridding of the Sentinel-3 TOC reflectance data to 1 km

# 8.1 Introduction

The TOC reflectance of Sentinel-3 generated in the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service are gridded at 333 m. To be able to use this data set for the retrieval of LAI and fAPAR at 1 km, these data are first re-gridded to 1 km, considering the associated per-pixel quality information. The procedure of the re-gridding is described in this annex.

#### 8.1.1 Related documents

ID	Document and link
ARD-1	CGLOPS1 - ATBD Atmospheric Correction Sentinel-3 OLCI & SLSTR V1
	https://land.copernicus.eu/global/sites/cgls.vito.be/files/products/CGLOPS1_ATBD_S3-
	<u>AC-V1_I1.20.pdf</u>
ARD-2	Sentinel-3 OLCI Product Data Format Specification – OLCI Level 1 products
	https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/1872756/Sentinel-3-OLCI-Product-Data-
	Format-Specification-OLCI-Level-1
ARD-3	CGLOPS1 – Preliminary Product User Manual – S3 Top of Canopy (TOC) reflectance 333 m
	https://land.copernicus.eu/global/sites/cgls.vito.be/files/products/CGLOPS1_ATBD_S3-
	<u>AC-V1_I1.30.pdf</u>

# 8.2 Input data description

The input TOC reflectances used are those for the OLCI sensor coming from the Copernicus Global Land Service – Lot1 (CGLOPS1). The data are NetCDF files, and the layers are summarized in Table 8. A more comprehensive explanation of the input data is given in the PUM [ARD-3], and the relevant information is copied below.

Parameter	Description
Oaxx_toc	TOC reflectances for OLCI bands
(for <i>xx</i> in 2-12, 16-18, 21)	
Oaxx_toc_error	Error on TOC reflectances for OLCI bands
(for <i>xx</i> in 2-12, 16-18, 21)	
SAA_OLCI	Solar azimuth angle for OLCI
SZA_OLCI	Solar zenith angle for OLCI
VAA_OLCI	Viewing azimuth angle for OLCI
VZA_OLCI	Viewing zenith angle for OLCI
Quality_flags	Quality flags from OLCI level 1b input data (see Table 9)
Pixel_classif_flags	Output from OLCI-IdePix input data (see Table 10)
AC_process_flag	Quality flag of the atmospheric correction processing (Table 11)
Latitude	Latitude
Longitude	Longitude

Table 8: Sentinel-3 input data

Bit	Name	Value
0	saturated_Oa21	1
1	saturated_Oa20	2
2	saturated_Oa19	4
3	saturated_Oa18	8
4	saturated_Oa17	16
5	saturated_Oa16	32
6	saturated_Oa15	64
7	saturated_Oa14	128
8	saturated_Oa13	256
9	saturated_Oa12	512
10	saturated_Oa11	1024
11	saturated_Oa10	2048
12	saturated_Oa09	4096
13	saturated_Oa08	8192
14	saturated_Oa07	16384
15	saturated_Oa06	32768
16	saturated_Oa05	65536
17	saturated_OaO4	131072
18	saturated_OaO3	262144
19	saturated_Oa02	524288
20	saturated_Oa01	1048576
21	dubious	2097152
22	sun_glint_risk	4194304
23	duplicated	8388608
24	cosmetic	16777216
25	invalid	33554432
26	straylight_risk	67108864
27	bright	134217728
28	tidal_region	268435456
29	fresh_inland_water	536870912
30	coastline	1073741824
31	land	2147483648

Table 9: Quality\_flags encoding

# Table 10: pixel\_classif\_flags encoding

Bit	Name	Value
0	IDEPIX_INVALID	1
1	IDEPIX_CLOUD	2
2	IDEPIX_CLOUD_AMBIGUOUS	4
3	IDEPIX_CLOUD_SURE	8
4	IDEPIX_CLOUD_BUFFER	16
5	IDEPIX_CLOUD_SHADOW	32
6	IDEPIX_SNOW_ICE	64
7	IDEPIX_BRIGHT	128
8	IDEPIX_WHITE	256
9	IDEPIX_COASTLINE	512
10	IDEPIX_LAND	1024
11	IDEPIX_MOUNTAIN_SHADOW	2048
DN no data value -1		

The A/C processing flags are defined as in Table 11.

Table	11: A	V/C	processina	flaas
abic	<b>エエ・</b> /	y C	processing	Judgo

Bit	Name	Flag value
0	Reserved – not implemented	0 fixed
2,1	Bit 2: 0, Bit 1: 0	0
	Nominal AOT value (AOT ≤0.5): High confidence level	
	Bit 2: 0, Bit 1: 1	2
	High AOT value (0.5 < AOT ≤1.0): Moderate confidence level	
	Bit 2:1, Bit 1: 0	
	Very high AOT value (1.0 < AOT ≤1.5): Low confidence level	
	Bit 2:1, Bit 1: 1	6
	Critical AOT value (1.5 < AOT): Very low confidence level	
3	High solar zenith angle (SZA>65°): Low confidence level	8
4	High viewing zenith angle (VZA>65°): Low confidence level	16
5	Use of a climatology (This flag value indicates the use, as backup,	32
	of the MERRA-2 climatology in case of missing CAMS NRT	
	ancillary data)	
6	Not used	64
7	Not used	128

# 8.3 Re-gridding to 1 km

#### 8.3.1 Grid

The S3 333 m data should be re-gridded to exactly the same grid as PROBA-V 1 km data (used as reference):

• The grid uses the World Geodetic System 1984 (WSG 84) projection as defined by the following Well-Known Text (WKT):

```
GEOGCS ["WGS
                                                                     84",
        DATUM["WGS 1984",
              PHEROID["WGS
                                                                     84",
                       6378137,
                       298.257223563,
                       `AUTHORITY["EPSG", "7030"]],
              TOWGS84[0,0,0,0,0,0,0],
              AUTHORITY["EPSG", "6326"]],
        PRIMEM["Greenwich",
               Ο,
               AUTHORITY["EPSG", "8901"]],
        UNIT["degree",
             0.0174532925199433,
             AUTHORITY["EPSG", "9108"]],
        AUTHORITY["EPSG","4326"]]
```

• All coordinates are centre pixel coordinates. The bounding box coordinates (e.g. to be used by GDAL) can be calculated as:

- $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{o} & Y_{upper} = Y_{centerpixel} + \frac{1}{112} \mathrm{and} & Y_{lower} = Y_{centerpixel} \frac{1}{112} \\ \mathsf{o} & X_{X_{centerpixel} \frac{1}{112}} & \mathrm{and} & X & = X_{centerpixel} + \frac{1}{112} \end{array}$
- The upper left tie point of the grid is 75°N,180°W and covers the area up to 65°S,180°E.
- Individual tiles in the Grid have a tile index in their names (e.g., X10Y04), to represent the tiles relative horizontal (X) and vertical (Y) position on the globe. Note that the original PROBA-V grid also uses a XY index in their tile names. However, the S3 is extended 10° North and as such, the Y indexes have an offset of 1, e.g., PROBA-V tile X10Y04 corresponds with a Sentinel-3 tile X10Y05.

This means that tiles with the same upper left coordinate, but different resolutions, don't have the same bounding box coordinates.

Illustrated below are the coordinates for Sentinel3-grid 10°x10° tile X18Y03, both in 333 m and 1 km pixel resolutions.



# 8.3.2 Selection of pixels

The aggregation of 3x3 pixels of the different layers will be performed only on pixels that meet certain conditions. We follow the recommendations on using the annotation flags as defined in CGLOPS1 (see [ARD-3]).

For OLCI reflectance bands, pixels with the following flags should be omitted:

- quality\_flags layer (See Table 9 in [ARD-3]):
  - 'saturated\_Oa\*' depending on which band is used, exclude when raised.
  - o 'sea
- pixel\_classif\_flags (See Table 10 in [ARD-3]):
  - IDEPIX\_LAND: include when raised
  - IDEPIX\_MOUNTAIN\_SHADOW: include when raised
  - IDEPIX\_INVALID: exclude when raised
  - IDEPIX\_CLOUD: exclude when raised
  - IDEPIX\_CLOUD\_AMBIGUOUS: exclude when raised
  - IDEPIX\_CLOUD\_BUFFER: exclude when raised
  - IDEPIX\_CLOUD\_SHADOW: exclude when raised
  - AC\_process\_flag (See Table 11 in [ARD-3]):
    - Exclude when AOT > 1 (Bit 2 set)
    - Exclude when SZA > 65° (Bit 3 set)

For all layers, only if 5 or more pixels are not flagged, then the values are resampled to 1 km. If only 4 or less pixels are not flagged, then the output value will be flagged (see section 8.3.3.4).

#### 8.3.3 Resampling method per layer

#### 8.3.3.1 OLCI Reflectances

Reflectances are averaged per band. Only pixels that are not flagged (see 8.3.2) are averaged. Further distinction should be made between clear land pixels and snow/ice land pixels according to the pixel\_classif\_flag:

IDEPIX SNOW ICE

These two classes should never be mixed in the average. Only the pixels where the majority is (not snow/ice) or (snow/ice) should be averaged. The following rule should be applied:

After elimination of other flagged values, take the average of IDEPIX\_LAND pixels if

- At least 5 pixels are retained after elimination of other flags, AND
- The majority of these pixels is NOT labelled as IDEPIX\_SNOW\_ICE, AND
- At least 4 pixels are retained.
- The output flag is then set to LAND.

A similar rule should be applied for snow/ice, take the average of IDEPIX\_SNOW\_ICE pixels if:

- At least 5 pixels are retained after elimination of other flags, AND
- The majority of these pixels is labelled as IDEPIX\_SNOW\_ICE, AND
- At least 4 pixels are IDEPIX\_SNOW\_ICE.
- The output flag is then set to LAND and SNOW\_ICE.

If there are less than 4 pixels either IDEPIX\_SNOW\_ICE, then

- the average of all these non-flagged pixels will be taken
- the output flag is set to MIXED SNOW/ICE/LAND

$$Oaxx_{toc_{g,h,1000}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Oaxx_{toc_{i,j,333}}$$

with

N: ranging between 4 and 9.

xx: band 1000, 333: spatial resolution i,j: pixel position in 333 m g,h: pixel position in 1000 m

#### 8.3.3.2 OLCI angles

The value of the middle pixel will be retained.

#### 8.3.3.3 Uncertainty of TOC reflectance

Uncertainties are propagated only for the pixels selected for the TOC reflectance averaging (see sections 8.3.2 and 8.3.3.1).

The method to calculate the output uncertainty is:

$$Oaxx \quad g_{,h,1000} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} Oaxx} \quad \sum_{i,j,333}^{2}$$

with

N: ranging between 4 and 9. xx: band 1000, 333: spatial resolution i,j: pixel position in 333 m g,h: pixel position in 1000 m

#### 8.3.3.4 Output Flags

Output flags will be summarized in one quality layer as defined in Table 12: Output flag definitions.. If TOC reflectances are not calculated, then there can be a number of reasons why pixels within the 3x3 window were flagged. Although it would be possible to propagate this information in the middle of large clouds (e.g.), this will inevitably result in multiple reasons why TOC reflectance was not calculated at 1000 m and these are not easy to label. In any case, it would not improve the retrievals.

Bit	Name	Description	Value
0	LAND	from IDEPIX_LAND	1
1	SNOW/ICE (1)	(TOC reflectance is calculated) AND	2
		(Majority of good pixels are IDEPIX_SNOW) AND	
		(at least 4 non-flagged pixels with IDEPIX_SNOW)	
2	MIXED CLEAR/SNOW/ICE	(TOC reflectance is calculated) AND	4
	(1)	(Majority of good pixels are IDEPIX_SNOW_ICE or	
		not IDEPIX_SNOW_ICE) AND	
		(less than 4 non-flagged pixels with	
		IDEPIX_SNOW_ICE or not IDEPIX_SNOW_ICE)	
3	BRIGHT	(TOC reflectance is calculated) AND (at least 1 non-	8
		flagged pixel with IDEPIX_BRIGHT is used)	
4	WHITE	(TOC reflectance is calculated) AND (at least 1 non-	16
		flagged pixel with IDEPIX_WHITE is used)	
5		Not used	
6		Not used	

Table 12:	Output flag	definitions.
-----------	-------------	--------------

CCI+-VEGETATION		ATBD V1.3	Page   33	
7	MISSING (1)	TOC reflectance is not calculated	126	

#### 8.3.3.5 Latitude and longitude

Lat, lon will be determined by the grid specification, the 1km pixel will have the same lat/lon as the 3x3 center pixel.

# 8.4 Output layers

The output layers are summarized in Table 13. Data type and scaling are identical to the input data.

Parameter	Description
Oaxx_toc	TOC reflectances for OLCI bands
(for <i>xx</i> in 2-12, 16-18, 21)	
Oaxx_toc_error	Error on TOC reflectances for OLCI bands
(for <i>xx</i> in 2-12, 16-18, 21)	
SAA_OLCI	Solar azimuth angle for OLCI
SZA_OLCI	Solar zenith angle for OLCI
VAA_OLCI	Viewing azimuth angle for OLCI
VZA_OLCI	Viewing zenith angle for OLCI
Quality flag	Defined in Table 12
Latitude	Latitude
Longitude	Longitude

#### Table 13: Output layers definition