



climate change initiative

European Space Agency

# User Requirements Document (URD)



**glaciers**  
cci

Prepared by: Glaciers\_cci+ consortium

Contract: 4000127593/19/I-NB  
Name: Glaciers\_cci+D1.1-3\_URD  
Version: 3.0  
Date: 25.04.2022

Contact:  
Frank Paul  
Department of Geography  
University of Zurich  
Winterthurerstr. 190  
CH-8057 Zurich  
frank.paul@geo.uzh.ch

Technical Officer:  
Anna Maria Trofaier  
ESA Climate Office



UNIVERSITY  
OF OSLO



University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

 **GAMMA REMOTE SENSING**



## Document status sheet

Version	Date	Changes	Approval
0.1	31.10. 2019	First draft	L. Gilbert
0.2	25.11. 2019	Consortium input integrated	F. Paul
1.3	03.12. 2020	Updated for second year of contract	L. Gilbert
2.1	17.11.2021	Updated for third year of contract	L. Gilbert
3.0	25.04.2022	Final update	L. Gilbert

The work described in this report was done under ESA contract 4000127593/19/I-NB. Responsibility for the contents resides in the authors who prepared it.

**Author team:**

Lin Gilbert, Andrew Shepherd (SEEL), Frank Paul, Philipp Rastner (GIUZ), Thomas Nagler, Jan Wuite (Enveo), Tazio Strozzi, Andreas Wiesmann (Gamma), Andreas Kääh (GUIO)

Glaciers\_cci+ Technical Officer at ESA:  
Anna Maria Trofaier

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 ESA Glaciers CCI+ and its requirements .....	4
1.2 Document purpose and scope .....	5
1.3 User requirements document structure .....	5
<b>2. Users of glacier data and related initiatives</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Users of glacier data .....	6
2.2 Related initiatives and cross-CCI activities .....	6
<b>3. User requirements</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Requirements from community groups .....	8
3.1.1 GCOS .....	8
3.1.2 GCW (formerly IGOS)/GTOS .....	8
3.1.3 CRG .....	9
3.1.4 CMUG .....	9
3.1.5 GTN-G.....	9
3.1.6 IACS working group on the RGI.....	10
3.1.7 Cross-CCI activities.....	10
3.2 Requirements from a Research Perspective .....	10
3.2.1 IPCC – AR5 and AR6 (WG I: Physical science basis) .....	10
3.2.2 IPCC – SROCC .....	10
3.2.3 Scientific Literature .....	11
3.2.4 Glaciers CCI user survey .....	12
3.2.5 Glaciers CCI+ project goals .....	12
<b>4. User Requirements Feasibility</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 Glacier outlines .....	13
4.2 Elevation change – DEM differencing .....	13
4.3 Elevation change – altimetry .....	13
4.4 Ice velocity .....	13
<b>5. Summary of requirements and uncertainty targets</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>15</b>
Documents .....	15
Journal paper .....	15
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>17</b>

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 ESA Glaciers CCI+ and its requirements

The European Space Agency (ESA) established the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) suite of projects in 2009 to utilise its long-term global Earth observation archives to inform worldwide policy decision on climate change mitigation and adaptation. The Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) has defined a range of essential climate variables (ECVs) that critically contribute to the characterisation of the climate.

Glaciers\_cci, which ran from 2011 to 2017, contributed to efforts towards a globally complete and detailed glacier inventory. It contributed glacier outlines in key and previously unmapped regions to the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI), the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) database, and co-operated in efforts to enhance the integrity and error characterisation of available datasets.

Glaciers\_CCI+ builds on the experience of the Glaciers\_cci project, to investigate the history and current state of dynamically unstable glaciers in two key regions:

- High mountain Asia – (e.g. Karakorum, Pamir, Kunlun Shan)
- Eastern Arctic – (e.g. Svalbard, Franz-Josef-Land, Novaya Zemlya, Severnaya Zemlya)

based on three key parameters:

- Glacier outline (GO)
- Surface elevation change (SEC)
- Ice velocity (IV).

The project will last for three years, starting in 2019, and communicate with the user community via publications, web resources, meetings and conferences. An expert group, the Climate Research Group (CRG), has been set up to advise and provide feedback on the CCI projects.

As a first step, an overview was compiled of the existing body of relevant literature and the key science questions resulting from it. Research requirements were gathered from the latest Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessment reports and its recent Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (SROCC), as well as from related international organisations such as the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS). These requirements will be guiding principles during project planning, and cover every aspect of the work from technical demands such as data resolution to operational demands such as data dissemination pathways.

The Statement of Work [1] states:

*“Detailed records of mass balance from in situ observations are available for relatively few glaciers and extend back on average 50 years. The target for the Glaciers ECV is therefore to populate, update and extend the existing records for primarily scientific users and key science bodies.”*

Once data are uploaded to the system (e.g. the GLIMS and WGMS database) they can be widely disseminated through the networks of the various cryospheric science organisations.

The user requirements are regularly reviewed throughout the project and updated annually. If other important needs or regions of interest emerge they will be investigated on a case-by-case basis and this document updated as necessary.

The third annual review of the user requirements has been undertaken, resulting in the current version of this document (v3.0). In the previous year the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report was released and the results of ESA's Climate Modelling User Group (CMUG) user survey were published, but neither of these publications called for new requirements to the glacier ECVs. Similarly, no new requirements have been published by the related initiatives listed in Section 2, below. Consequently changes between this document and its previous version are small.

The only new activity seen is the release of a summary schedule and design for the GCOS Implementation Plan. This will differ from the 2016 publication, with a greater focus on specific actions, targeted at observers rather than attached to the ECVs themselves. However, it will continue to summarise required ECV accuracy. At time of writing (April 2022) the public review phase was still active.

## 1.2 Document purpose and scope

This User Requirements Document (URD) assesses requirements relevant to the Glaciers\_CCI+ project from the international glaciological and climate research communities. It collects those requirements from relevant publications and inter-group communication, and discusses their feasibility. Among others, the results will be used to guide the project specifications.

In this document, specific user requirements are assigned a requirement ID reference code named 'URq\_XX'. As a starting point, IDs 1 to 19 have been copied across from the Glaciers\_cci project, and updated where necessary. This will facilitate cross-referencing and traceability between multiple CCI and CCI+ documents. For the final CCI equivalent to this document, see [2].

## 1.3 User requirements document structure

This document is structured as follows:

- Chapter 2 outlines the users of the glacier products and potential synergy with related initiatives,
- Chapter 3 describes the requirements from user community organisations,
- Chapter 4 assesses the scientific feasibility of meeting the identified user requirements,
- Chapter 5 provides a summary table of the requirements and uncertainty targets to be developed within the project.

## 2. Users of glacier data and related initiatives

Glaciers react to climatic forcing on timescales typically from years to decades, and satellite monitoring of glaciers has sufficient history to allow analysis of past and present records. Changes in glaciers provide natural evidence of climate change. Changes in glacier area and surface elevation can be converted into contributions to global mass balance and runoff, while velocity helps estimate mass flux. All these parameters feed into the global sea-level budget.

Glaciers\_cci+ will contribute data to the scientific community, which will be of interest to users working in fields such as climate monitoring and modelling. In a wider context, it will also overlap with science projects using similar techniques and producing similar datasets, e.g. those working on related ECVs. Cross-project collaboration and co-ordination are on-going.

### 2.1 Users of glacier data

The main users of the data fall into several categories, described in Table 1 below.

*Table 1: Types of data users.*

User interest	Example usage
Glacier modellers	Validation, constraint and initialisation of models
Earth observation scientists	Deriving volume and mass change, and mass budgets
Mass balance measurements	Glacier extents, geodetic mass balance for calibration
Surface mass balance modellers	Interpretation of observed mass changes
Climate modellers	Incorporating glacier/climate interactions within Earth system models
Policy makers – political and practical	Monitoring changes for water availability, hydro-power, etc.
General public and media	Visualisations and information relating to climate change impacts

### 2.2 Related initiatives and cross-CCI activities

Glaciers\_cci collaborated with three other CCI projects, Landcover, Antarctic Ice Sheet and Greenland Ice Sheet, all of which have been extended to CCI+. Glaciers\_CCI+ will continue to work with them, as well as two new CCI+ projects, Snow and Permafrost. The Climate Modelling User Group (CMUG) is a pan-CCI project. Close cooperation of ESA with the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) of the European Union is on-going. C3S is a recently launched ECV data provision service that takes up R&D results of CCI in its glacier service.

At global level, a co-ordinated glacier monitoring system has been set up, the Global Terrestrial Network for Glaciers (GTN-G) that is operated by WGMS as part of GCOS and its Terrestrial Observation Panel for Climate (TOPC). Additionally, the Integrated Global Observing Strategy (IGOS), now replaced by Global Cryosphere Watch (GCW), was concerned with strategic planning of requirements for satellite observations and was set up by several partners including the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) and the Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS).

The US based National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) administers the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) database, which also hosts the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI). Independently working in the same scientific area are the Ice sheet Mass Balance and Intercomparison Exercise (IMBIE), and the International Association of Cryospheric Sciences (IACS) working groups on the RGI and the Regional Assessments of Glacier Mass Change (RAGMAC). An overview of the related initiatives is given in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Overview of projects and initiatives related to *Glaciers\_cci*.

Project	Web address	Description	Synergy
Antarctic Ice Sheet CCI+	<a href="http://esa-icesheets-antarctica-cci.org">http://esa-icesheets-antarctica-cci.org</a>	An ESA CCI project to produce data records of mass balance, ice velocity, surface elevation change and grounding lines in Antarctica	Common consortium members allow communal development of algorithms for elevation change and velocity products
Greenland Ice Sheet CCI+	<a href="http://esa-icesheets-greenland-cci.org">http://esa-icesheets-greenland-cci.org</a>	An ESA CCI project to produce data records of surface elevation change, ice velocity, calving front location, grounding line location and gravimetric mass balance in Greenland	Common consortium members allow communal development of algorithms for surface elevation change and velocity products
Landcover CCI+	<a href="http://esa-landcover-cci.org">http://esa-landcover-cci.org</a>	An ESA CCI project to generate global land cover products	Global glacier outlines are considered as a land cover type
Permafrost CCI+	<a href="http://cci.esa.int/Permafrost">http://cci.esa.int/Permafrost</a>	An ESA CCI project to develop and deliver permafrost maps as ECV products	Glacier outlines are incorporated into permafrost modelling
Snow CCI+	<a href="http://cci.esa.int/node/274">http://cci.esa.int/node/274</a>	An ESA CCI project to produce global maps of snow cover area extent and snow water equivalent	Snow products can be used to constrain glacier outlines
Sea-level budget closure	<a href="http://tu-dresden.de/bu/umwelt/geo/ipg/gef/forschung/projekte/slbc_cci/">tu-dresden.de/bu/umwelt/geo/ipg/gef/forschung/projekte/slbc_cci/</a>	An ESA CCI Cross-ECV project to test if the sea level budget can be closed with currently available datasets	Global glacier outlines as a main input for modelling
CMUG	<a href="http://www.esa-cmug-cci.org">http://www.esa-cmug-cci.org</a>	A dedicated forum through which the earth observation and climate modelling and reanalysis communities can work together	Development of product formats
C3S	<a href="https://climate.copernicus.eu">https://climate.copernicus.eu</a>	A data service providing information about past, present and future climate in Europe and globally	Data dissemination
GCW (formerly IGOS) / GTOS	<a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/gosic/global-terrestrial-observingsystem-gtos">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/gosic/global-terrestrial-observingsystem-gtos</a>	A program for observations, modelling and analysis of terrestrial ecosystems to support sustainable development	Incorporation of datasets into global observing strategy
GCOS	<a href="https://gcos.wmo.int">https://gcos.wmo.int</a>	A coordinating body of the WMO in support of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change	Status assessment of global climate observations
WGMS	<a href="https://wgms.ch">https://wgms.ch</a>	A service that compiles and disseminates standardised data on glacier fluctuations	Surface elevation change products incorporated into WGMS data pool
NSIDC	<a href="https://nsidc.org">https://nsidc.org</a>	An organisation managing and distributing cryospheric data (incl. tools for data access), providing user support, performing scientific research and educating the public about the cryosphere	Dissemination of GLIMS data, the WGI and RGI
GLIMS	<a href="https://www.glims.org">https://www.glims.org</a>	A project to monitor the world's glaciers, primarily using data from optical satellite instruments	Glacier outlines and velocity products incorporated into GLIMS database, provision of guidance on data standards
IACS RGI working group	<a href="https://cryosphericciences.org/rgi-working-group">https://cryosphericciences.org/rgi-working-group</a>	A group maintaining and further updating the RGI glacier inventory dataset	Glacier outlines incorporated into RGI data pool
IACS RAG-MAC working group	<a href="https://cryosphericciences.org/activities/wg-ragmac/">https://cryosphericciences.org/activities/wg-ragmac/</a>	A group established to produce regional and global evaluations of glacier mass changes	Prepare a new consensus estimate of global glacier mass changes with uncertainties
IMBIE	<a href="http://imbie.org">http://imbie.org</a>	An international collaboration providing improved estimates of the ice sheet contribution to sea level rise	Common consortium members allow communal development of satellite radar altimetry methods and algorithms



## 3. User requirements

Glaciers\_cci assembled a list of 19 requirements, based on publications and recommendations from community groups and interested parties [2]. Those requirements are taken as a starting point, and for continuity they retain their CCI identification numbers, URq\_01 to URq\_19. Updates and new requirements have been considered and added as necessary. However, since Glaciers\_CCI+ is concentrated on two key regions, rather than globally, some of the CCI requirements do no longer apply to CCI+ or are now taken over by C3S. Table 4 in Section 5 gives a complete listing of the requirements.

### 3.1 Requirements from community groups

Scientific communities with a special interest in products from the Glaciers\_CCI+ project are listed below, with the IDs relevant to each of their requirements.

#### 3.1.1 GCOS

GCOS regularly assesses the state of global climate observations and maintains definitions of ECVs. Their latest ECV definition update was issued in 2016, with two ECVs relevant to Glaciers\_CCI+ [3]. It is a cardinal requirement (CR-1) of the CCI+ projects that they develop and validate algorithms to approach the GCOS ECV requirements [1]. Feedback will be provided from Glaciers\_CCI+ to GCOS via their Terrestrial Observation Panel for Climate (TOPC).

Relevant requirements: URq\_01, URq\_02

In 2016 GCOS produced an updated version of the Implementation Plan [4] for a global climate observing system. Several of the actions listed are relevant to glacier ECVs. These are:

- T21 Encourage and enforce research projects to make their ECV-relevant observations available through the dedicated international data centres.
- T22 Finalise the completion of a global reference inventory for glaciers and increase its data quality and data richness.
- T23 Continue to produce and compile repeat inventories at multi-decadal timescales.
- T27 Encourage observations and reporting of glacier velocities.

#### 3.1.2 GCW (formerly IGOS)/GTOS

The findings of IGOS are summarised in the IGOS Cryosphere Theme Report of 2007 [5], which has also requirements for glaciers in its Table B6, reproduced as Table 3 below. Its current requirements are laid out in [12] and used as the basis for the World Meteorological Organisation Observing Systems Capability Analysis and Review Tool (WMO OSCAR) [13]. For the glacier area (outlines) product, however, we follow the target requirements listed in [6] (GCOS 2011).

Relevant requirements: URq\_01 (area), URq\_02 (elevation change), URq\_03 (velocity)



Table 3: IGOS requirements for glaciers. Codes are: C = current capability, T = threshold requirement (minimum necessary), O = objective requirement (target), L = low end of measurement range, U = unit, H = high end of measurement range, V = value

Parameter	C O T	Measurement Range			Measurement Accuracy		Resolution				Comment or Principal Driver
		L	H	U	V	U	Spatial		Temporal		
							V	U	V	U	
Area	C				1	%	5	m	30	yr	airborne
	O				3	%	30	m	5	yr	Landsat etc.
	T	0.01		km <sup>2</sup>	3	%	50	m	5	yr	Hi-res optical
	O	0.01		km <sup>2</sup>	1	%	15	m	1	yr	
Topography	O										Airborne
	C	0	8500	m sl.	5	m	100	m	5	yr	For models
	T	0	8500	m sl.	0.1	m	30	m	1	yr	Mass balance
Velocity	O				1	%	point		1	yr	In situ
	C	0	10	km/yr	5	%	10	m	1	yr	InSAR, hi-res optical, etc.
	T	0	10	km/yr	1	%	20	m	1	mo	
Glacier dammed lakes	O				1	%	1	m	1	yr	airborne
	C	0.05	10	km <sup>2</sup>	3	%	50	m	1	mo	SAR, hi-res optical
	T	0.01	10	km <sup>2</sup>	1	%	15	m	5	day	
Facies, snowline	C						point				In situ
	T			class	200	m	100	m	1	mo	Position of boundary
	O			class	30	m	30	m	10	day	
Accumulation	C				5	%	point				In situ
	T	0.05	8	m	10	%	500	m	1	yr	Ku-, X-SAR
	O	0.10	5	m	5	%	100	m	1	mo	
Mass balance	C				0.10	m	point				In situ
	T	0	±5	m	0.20	m	500	m	1	mo	process model & SAR
	O	0	±5	m	0.05	m	100	m	1	yr	
Ice thickness	C	0	200	m	2-5	m	100	m	30	yr	In situ, airborne

### 3.1.3 CRG

The climate research group (CRG) advising CCI+ has not yet forwarded requirements that are different from those listed in Table 4. However, the former CRG of Glaciers\_cci had an important requirement that is unsolved, the increase in the consistency of the glacier outlines timestamps. This work is now addressed for the ECV Glaciers in the related C3S project and thus no longer considered here.

### 3.1.4 CMUG

CMUG provides technical feedback to all CCI projects. The most recent CMUG user survey [11], launched in 2019, recommended using the pre-existing requirements made by GTN-G.

### 3.1.5 GTN-G

The science bodies WGMS, GLIMS and NSIDC form the global terrestrial network for glaciers (GTN-G) that is responsible for co-ordinated global glacier monitoring. Their website [8] lists guideline publications for creating and submitting glacier data as well as the documents from international organisations that provide recommendations and requirements for satellite-based cryospheric monitoring (e.g. GCOS, IGOS/GCW and GTOS). The three requirements below describe to where results for the three main products (glacier outlines, elevation changes, velocity) should be submitted.

Relevant requirements: URq\_08, URq\_09 and URq\_10

### 3.1.6 IACS working group on the RGI

The RGI working group has now (2020) been granted a 4-year extension by IACS. Specific requirements (glacier outlines production in specific regions) resulted for C3S rather than Glaciers\_cci+. There are thus no changes of requirements from this working group.

### 3.1.7 Cross-CCI activities

There has been collaboration between Glaciers\_cci and several other CCI projects (see Table 2), in particular Land Cover CCI has been provided with glacier outlines, and Antarctic Ice Sheets and Greenland Ice Sheets have shared regional definitions (discriminating the ice sheet from the peripheral glaciers), scientific methods and team members. Moreover, Glaciers\_cci has contributed to the cross-ECV project on Sea-level-budge-closure. These collaborations will continue in CCI+, and new ones with the ECVs Permafrost and Snow are planned.

Relevant requirements: URq\_21 (from Permafrost) [10]

## 3.2 Requirements from a Research Perspective

The IPCC is the United Nations body for assessing the science related to climate change. It prepares periodic reports, identifying areas of agreement within the scientific community, and areas where further research is needed. Its fifth assessment report was published in 2013/14 (IPCC AR5), and sixth in 2021 (IPCC AR6). In September 2019 the IPCC published a Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (SROCC).

### 3.2.1 IPCC – AR5 and AR6 (WG I: Physical science basis)

As part of the AR5 Working Group I reports on ‘The Physical Science Basis’ [7], a number of issues relating to the current state of observations of glaciers and ice caps were raised. Key issues relevant to Glaciers\_cci were:

- The largest uncertainty in estimates of mass loss from glaciers comes from Antarctica. Section 4.3.3 of the main report indicates that this is because there is not currently a comprehensive inventory for the peripheral glaciers and ice caps of Antarctica, only those on the Antarctic and the Sub-Antarctic Islands.
- The certainty of global glacier area estimates is limited by regional variability in the accuracy of glacier outlines, the minimum size of glaciers included and the subdivision of contiguous ice masses.
- Differing time spans covered by different area change measurement studies leads to uncertainty in generating regional or global scale estimates of area change.

The more regional scope of Glaciers\_cci+ with respect to Glaciers\_cci means that the points above are no longer relevant to this project. However, they will be addressed within C3S.

AR6’s equivalent report, where the cryosphere is discussed in chapter 9 [14], acknowledges improvements to the RGI since AR5. AR6 used version 6, while AR5 used version 2. The emphasis in AR6 is on understanding regional and global patterns of glacier evolution which fits well with the case studies approach used within Glaciers\_cci+.

### 3.2.2 IPCC – SROCC

This report [9] was released on 25<sup>th</sup> September 2019. It focuses on high mountain areas in Chapter 2 and polar regions in Chapter 3. Rather than providing specific requirements, which

IPCC shall not give, it recommends closer cross-disciplinary collaboration and identifies key gaps in knowledge.

For mountains, page 2-57 lists several knowledge gaps and problem areas that are relevant for Glaciers\_cci+, such as that “Earth observation satellites ... still face challenges for observations in mountains such as dealing with cloud cover and rugged terrain” and “... observational knowledge gaps currently impede efforts to quantify trends, and to calibrate and validate models that simulate the past and future evolution of the cryosphere and its impacts.” Error bars for glacier mass losses are still quite high, for instance for High Mountain Asia ( $-150 \pm 100 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) (page 2-3).

On page 3-98 it says:

*“There is a need to better understand the evolution of polar glaciers and ice sheets, and their influences on global sea level. Longer and improved quantifications of their changes are required, especially where mass losses are greatest, and (relatedly) better attribution of natural versus anthropogenic drivers.”*

Apart from the last point (attribution), the above knowledge gaps will be addressed in Glaciers\_cci+ by investigating glacier mass changes in polar regions and in High Mountain Asia. Observational techniques will be improved to prolong time series, and fill spatial and temporal gaps.

### 3.2.3 Scientific Literature

Our thematic focus on the investigation of dynamically unstable glaciers in the Arctic and High Mountain Asia is also motivated by the scientific literature. Numerous recent studies have demonstrated the possibilities of remote sensing data not only for detecting glacier surges (Rankl et al. 2014, Bhambri et al. 2017, Strozzi et al. 2017, Chudley and Willis 2018), but also providing a better understanding of the responsible physical mechanisms (e.g. Dunse et al. 2015, Nuth et al. 2019, Quincey et al. 2015, Paul et al. 2017, Round et al. 2017, Sevestre et al. 2015, Wendt et al. 2017, Willis et al. 2018). In general, the latter are based on observations of changes in elevation and/or flow velocities at high spatial and temporal resolution. This also applies to recent glacier collapses in Tibet that have been observed and interpreted using such data (e.g. Käab et al. 2018, Gilbert et al. 2018, Paul 2019).

Two overview studies by Qiu (2015 and 2017) are summarizing several of the mysteries of dynamically unstable glaciers. A most critical scientific point to be solved according to these overviews is the possible impact of climate change on glacier surges and collapses. This is somewhat beyond the scope of the Glaciers\_cci+ baseline project, as it also requires the analysis of climate model data (re-analysis) and application of numerical modelling (e.g. surface mass balance).

David Molden, director general of the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), is cited in Qiu (2015) with the point: “There is an urgent need to understand what’s happening with Karakoram glaciers ... It’s critical for planning policies and infrastructures and adapting to climate change.” This is in line with the request forwarded in the global overview study by Sevestre and Benn (2015) who are asking for more detailed regional studies to further explore and refine their enthalpy cycle model. The Glaciers\_cci+ project aims at further contributing to these two points.

### 3.2.4 Glaciers CCI user survey

The Glaciers\_cci project sent questionnaires to members of the GLIMS and Cryolist mailing lists, as detailed in [2]. During the course of the project, two main requirements were derived. As one of it is already implemented (use of UTM projection), we kept only one requirement. Relevant requirements: URq\_15.

### 3.2.5 Glaciers CCI+ project goals

The project is subject to the requirements of ESA's CCI programme as a whole, which specified high-level cardinal, programmatic, general, technical and management requirements in the Statement of Work [1] issued before the project began. There are 28 in total, laid out in full in Annex I for glaciers. Those relevant to the user experience are summarised here:

TR-4: The products will be derived from optical, radar and lidar instruments. They will cover land-based ice surfaces excluding the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets, cover the full mission lifetimes of the satellites selected in TR-5 (below), and include monthly, seasonal and annual versions as appropriate.

TR-5: The products shall be derived from combinations of the following missions: Sentinel-1 A&B, Sentinel-2 A&B, Sentinel-3 A&B, ERS1, ERS2, EnviSat, ALOS-1, ALOS-2, SAOCOM, TERRA, Landsat-8, TerraSAR, Keyhole, Landsat 4-9, ICESat, ICESat-2, and CryoSat-2.

TR-6: There shall be no duplication of data production between the Copernicus and CCI programmes and the algorithm improvements shall be coordinated with Copernicus services (C3S) such that the quality and uptake from CCI into C3S is assured.

TR-7: ECV datasets generated within CCI shall be reported to and catalogued by the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) ECV inventory.

TR-8: Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) shall be assigned to all ECV datasets made publicly available.

TR-9: The CRG shall identify two use cases comprising one high mountain area where rapid change is taking place and/or particularly complicated situations exist, and one polar area.

The project has been designed with these requirements in mind. Scientifically interesting regions beyond the major ice sheets have been selected for study (TR-4), using long-term data streams from the satellites listed in TR-5. Participating groups work for both C3S and CCI, which assures co-ordination between services (TR-6). TR-7 and TR-8 will be respected. The use cases from TR-9 are given in the introduction to this document (Section 1.1).

As one of the key goals of the project is to compare data from a range of sensors, it is essential to develop merged data products from the start. This leads to a new requirement, URq\_20.

Since the start of the CCI projects, the ice velocity community have refined their techniques so that it is possible to make products at weekly resolution, denser than that required by IGOS in part of URq\_03. This gives rise to a new requirement, URq\_22.

Relevant requirements: URq\_20, URq\_22.

## 4. User Requirements Feasibility

The following subsections highlight and revise the feasibility of user requirements that fall within the scope of the Glaciers CCI+ project. Surface elevation change is derived by two different techniques, digital elevation model (DEM) differencing and altimetry, with different capabilities, so they are treated separately.

### 4.1 Glacier outlines

Whereas two of the requirements are feasible (**URq\_01** and **URq\_09**), **URq\_05** requires further work and the feasibility of the various issues remains to be seen. **URq\_21** is difficult to achieve and will likely be addressed in C3S rather than Glaciers\_cci+. The overarching **URq\_20** however, should be feasible as data combination is a major aim of the project.

### 4.2 Elevation change – DEM differencing

All URq related to SEC from DEM differencing are in principle feasible, but feasibility of **URq\_02** is spatially variable depending on data availability. Suitable coverage by elevation data, or raw data from which DEMs can be produced, varies. For instance, ASTER data and derived DEMs are impacted by frequent cloud cover and spatially non-continuous acquisition plans, similar for DigitalGlobal stereo acquisitions, which are used for the ArcticDEM and the High Mountain Asia DEM. DEMs from radar interferometry (SRTM, TanDEM-X WorldDEM) are affected by radar penetration. Over large areas **URq\_02** should be feasible, but there will be zones of exception due to data availability and quality.

### 4.3 Elevation change – altimetry

For SEC measurements from altimetry we identify the following user requirement that is not fully feasible:

- **URq\_02:** GCOS target requirement for horizontal resolution of SEC measurements of 30-100 m. This resolution is not achievable with altimetry due to the constraints of the sensors and the methods necessary for deriving elevation change measurements. The typical SEC resolution that can be reliably achieved from altimetry is 1– 5 km depending on data recovery and geographical region. By design, space-borne altimetric data follow orbit tracks, and are thus not evenly distributed.

### 4.4 Ice velocity

For IV measurements we identify the following user requirement that is not fully feasible:

- **URq\_03:** The IGOS/GTOS requirement for horizontal resolution of IV measurements of 20 m spatial resolution. This resolution is generally not achievable due to the constraints of the sensors and the methods necessary for deriving velocity. The primary sensor for deriving ice velocity is Sentinel-1 (S1) SAR. The typical IV resolution that can be reliably achieved from SAR data is 100–250 m depending on the coherence between image pairs. A goal in this project is development to improved spatial resolution using S1 (towards ~100 m pixel spacing). Velocity from optical data such as from Sentinel-2 will have better spatial resolution (~ 50 m pixel spacing) but are less complete in space and time due to cloud cover and polar night.

## 5. Summary of requirements and uncertainty targets

The final requirements, once unfeasible and obsolete requirements are removed, are summarised in Table 4 below. For each user requirement, the source and the type of work it will address are identified. Background (BG) means that this is a continuous activity, selection (S), production (P), and dissemination (D) mean that the related requirement has to be considered during data selection, production, and dissemination, respectively. Surface elevation change methodologies are split into two, DEM differencing (SEC-DD) and altimetry (SEC-ALT). The requirements are grouped by the parameter they refer to, and repeated where applicable to multiple parameters.

Table 4: Summary of requirements and uncertainty targets.

ID	Parameter	Requirements	Source	Type	Notes
URq_01	GO	15-30 m horizontal resolution, annual temporal resolution (at end of ablation season), better than 5% accuracy.	GCOS	BG	As in CCI
URq_05	GO	Greater consistency is required in inventories (e.g. methods for subdivision of glacier complexes, consideration of seasonal snow, identification of debris-covered glaciers).	IPCC	P	As in CCI
URq_09	GO	Provide GO data to the GLIMS database in the required format.	GTN-G	D	As in CCI
URq_21	GO	Better distinguish debris-covered glaciers from rock glaciers.	Permafrost CCI+	BG	New to CCI+, might be covered by C3S
URq_02	SEC	30-100 m horizontal and 1 m vertical resolution, decadal temporal resolution, accuracy better than 2 m/decade, 1 m/decade stability	GCOS	BG	Updated 2016, horizontal resolution is unfeasible for SEC-ALT
URq_08	SEC	Provide SEC data to the WGMS database	GTN-G	D	As in CCI
URq_15	SEC-ALT	Produce seasonal and annual SEC product	Glaciers_cci user survey	P	As in CCI
URq_16	SEC	Deliver SEC product in UTM projection	Glaciers_cci user survey	D	As in CCI
URq_03	IV	20 m horizontal resolution, monthly to annual resolution, and better than 1% accuracy.	IGOS/GTOS	BG	As in CCI, horizontal resolution is unfeasible
URq_10	IV	Provide velocity data to the GLIMS database	GTN-G	D	As in CCI
URq_22	IV	Weekly resolution	Glaciers CCI+	BG	New to CCI+
URq_20	GO, SEC, IV	A combined data product should be made	Glaciers CCI+	D	New to CCI+



## References

### Documents

- [1] ESA Earth Observation Directorate, 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018, Climate Change Initiative Extension (CCI+) Phase 1 New R&D on CCI ECVs Statement of Work, issue 1, rev 6, ref ESA-CCI-EOPS-PRGM-SOW-18-0118
- [2] Glaciers CCI Consortium, User Requirements Document Phase 2 Year 3 (Glaciers\_cci-D1.1-URD-Ph2Yr3), 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016.
- [3] Select the Land-Cryosphere-Glaciers factsheet from <https://gcos.wmo.int/en/essential-climate-variables/ecv-factsheets>. Accessed 8th September 2019.
- [4] GCOS, 2016, The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs, GCOS-200 <https://gcos.wmo.int/en/gcos-implementation-plan>, [https://library.wmo.int/doc\\_num.php?explnum\\_id=3417](https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=3417)
- [5] IGOS Cryosphere Theme Report, August 2007, WMO/TD-No.1405.
- [6] GCOS (2011): Systematic observation requirements for satellite-based data products for climate 2011 update, GCOS-154, WMO, 128 pp.
- [7] IPCC, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgeley (Eds). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA, 2013.
- [8] GTN-G homepage, accessed October 2019, <https://www.gtn-g.ch/guidelines/>
- [9] IPCC, 2019, IPCC Special Report on The Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, in press, see <https://www.ipcc.ch/srocc/home>
- [10] Olli Karjalainen et al., 2019, Circumpolar permafrost maps and geohazard indices for near-future infrastructure risk assessments, Nature – Scientific Data, 6, Article number 190037 (2019)
- [11] [https://climate.esa.int/media/documents/CMUG\\_Baseline\\_Requirements\\_D1.1\\_v2.2\\_EU\\_BGoPz.pdf](https://climate.esa.int/media/documents/CMUG_Baseline_Requirements_D1.1_v2.2_EU_BGoPz.pdf)
- [12] [https://globalcryospherewatch.org/reference/obs\\_requirements.php](https://globalcryospherewatch.org/reference/obs_requirements.php)
- [13] <https://space.oscar.wmo.int/requirements>
- [14] [https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC\\_AR6\\_WGI\\_Chapter\\_09.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Chapter_09.pdf)

### Journal paper

- Bhambri, R., Hewitt, K., Kawishwar, P., & Pratap, B. (2017). Surge-type and surge-modified glaciers in the Karakoram. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 15391, doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-15473-8.
- Chudley, T.R. & Willis, I.C. (2019): Glacier surges in the north-west West Kunlun Shan inferred from 1972 to 2017 Landsat imagery. *Journal of Glaciology*, 65 (249), 1-12.
- Dunse, T., Schellenberger, T., Hagen, J.-O., Käab, A., Schuler, T.V., & Reijmer, C.H. (2015). Glacier-surge mechanisms promoted by a hydro-thermodynamic feedback to summer melt. *The Cryosphere*, 9(1), 197–215.
- Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Kargel, J., Käab, A., Yao, T., Gascoïn, S., Leonard, G., Berthier, E., & Karki, A. (2018). Mechanisms leading to the 2016 giant twin glacier collapses, Aru range, Tibet. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 1–26, doi: 10.5194/tc-2018-45.



- Kääb, A., Leinss, S., Gilbert, A., Bühler, Y., Gascoïn, S., Evans, S.G., Bartelt, P., Berthier, E., Brun, F., Chao, W.A., Farinotti, D., Gimbert, F., Guo, W., Huggel, C., Kargel, J.S., Leonard, G.J., Tian, L., Treichler, D., & Yao, T. (2018). Massive collapse of two glaciers in western Tibet in 2016 after surge-like instability. *Nature Geoscience*, 11(2), 114–120.
- Nuth, C. and 9 others (2019): Dynamic vulnerability revealed in the collapse of an Arctic tidewater glacier. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-41117-0.
- Paul, F., Strozzi, T., Schellenberger, T., & Kääb, A. (2017). The 2015 Surge of Hispar Glacier in the Karakoram. *Remote Sensing*, 9 (9), 888, doi: 10.3390/rs9090888.
- Paul, F. (2019): Repeat glacier collapses and surges in the Amnye Machen mountain range, Tibet, possibly triggered by a developing rock-slope instability. *Remote Sensing*, 11(6), 708; doi: 10.3390/rs11060708.
- Qiu, J. (2015): Himalayan ice can fool climate studies. *Science*, 47 (6229), 1404-1405.
- Qiu, J. (2017). Ice on the run. *Science*, 358(6367), 1120–1123.
- Quincey, D.J., Glasser, N.F., Cook, S.J., & Luckman, A. (2015). Heterogeneity in Karakoram glacier surges. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 120(7), 1288–1300.
- Rankl, M., Kienholz, C., & Braun, M. (2014). Glacier changes in the Karakoram region mapped by multimission satellite imagery. *The Cryosphere*, 8(3), 977–989.
- Round, V., Leinss, S., Huss, M., Haemmig, C., & Hajnsek, I. (2017). Surge dynamics and lake outbursts of Kyagar Glacier, Karakoram. *The Cryosphere*, 11(2), 723–739.
- Sevestre, H., & Benn, D.I. (2015). Climatic and geometric controls on the global distribution of surge-type glaciers: implications for a unifying model of surging. *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(228), 646–662.
- Strozzi, T., Paul, F., Wiesmann, A., Schellenberger, T., & Kääb, A. (2017b). Circum-Arctic Changes in the Flow of Glaciers and Ice Caps from Satellite SAR Data between the 1990s and 2017. *Remote Sensing*, 9(9), 947, doi: 10.3390/rs9090947.
- Wendt, A., Mayer, C., Lambrecht, A., & Floricioiu, D. (2017). A glacier surge of Bivachny Glacier, Pamir Mountains, Observed by a time series of high-resolution digital elevation models and glacier velocities. *Remote Sensing*, 9(4), 388, doi: 10.3390/rs9040388.
- Willis, M.J., W. Zheng, W.J. Durkin IV, M.E. Pritchard, J.M. Ramage, J.A. Dowdeswell, T.J. Benham, R.P. Bassford, L.A. Stearns, A.F. Glazovsky, Y.Y. Macheret, C.C. Porter (2019): Massive destabilization of an arctic ice cap. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 502, 146–155.

## Abbreviations

C3S	Copernicus Climate Change Service
CCI	Climate Change Initiative
CEOS	Committee on Earth Observation Satellites
CMUG	Climate Modelling User Group
CRG	Climate Research Group
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
ESA	European Space Agency
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System
GCW	Global Cryosphere Watch
GLIMS	Global Land Ice Measurements from Space
GO	Glacier Outline
GTN-G	Global Terrestrial Network for Glaciers
GTOS	Global Terrestrial Observing System
IACS	International Association of Cryospheric Sciences
IGOS	Integrated Global Observing Strategy
IMBIE	Ice sheet Mass Balance and Intercomparison Exercise
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IV	Ice Velocity
NSIDC	National Snow and Ice Data Centre
RAGMAC	Regional Assessments of Glacier Mass Change
RGI	Randolph Glacier Index
SEC	Surface Elevation Change
SROCC	Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate
TOPC	Terrestrial Observation Panel for Climate
URD	User Requirements Document
WG	Working Group
WGMS	World Glacial Monitoring Service
WMO	World Meteorological Organisation
WMO	World Meteorological Organisation Observing Systems Capability Analysis and Review Tool
OSCAR	